### STATE OF IOWA

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### **UTILITIES BOARD**

IN RE:

PRINCIPAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, f/k/a PRINCIPAL MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Complainant,

VS.

MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY,

Respondent.

DOCKET NO. FCU-01-3

# ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR AMENDMENT OF PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

(Issued May 31, 2002)

An order asking a number of questions of the parties was issued on May 22, 2002. On May 28, 2002, Principal Life Insurance Company, f/k/a Principal Mutual Life Insurance Company (Principal) filed a motion for amendment of the procedural schedule in this case. MidAmerican Energy Company (MidAmerican) and the Consumer Advocate Division of the Department of Justice (Consumer Advocate) do not object to the motion.

Principal attached a summary of its calculation of what it would have paid under rate LHS as compared with what it would have paid under the contract to its

Complaint (Attachment D). MidAmerican attached a summary of its calculation of what Principal would have paid under the contract as compared with what it would have paid under rate LPS to its answer (Attachment E). It is expected that the amounts calculated as due under the contract would be the same for each party. Interestingly, the calculations of the contract amounts do not match for years 1998 and 1999. In addition, the supporting schedules in the attachments do not appear to contain identical numbers for the same categories of information.

Principal and MidAmerican should recalculate the amounts, and both parties should calculate the amounts they believe would be due under both rates LHS and LPS. If the numbers do not match, each party should file an explanation, the assumptions used to make the calculations, and why the calculations for that party are more persuasive.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- Principal's motion for amendment of the procedural schedule is hereby granted.
  - 2. The procedural schedule is amended as follows:
  - a. On or before June 14, 2002, Principal and MidAmerican must file supplemental testimony responding to the questions issued in the May 22, 2002, order. In addition, each party must file a recalculation of the amounts believed to be due under rate LHS, rate LPS, and the contract on or before June 14, 2002. If the amounts filed by each party do not match, each must

file an explanation, the assumptions used to make the calculations, and why the calculations for that party are more persuasive, by June 28, 2002.

- b. The hearing in this case is continued to July 8 and 9, 2002.
- All other aspects of the procedural order and notice of hearing issued
   January 24, 2002 remain in effect.

## **UTILITIES BOARD**

/s/ Amy L. Christensen Amy L. Christensen Administrative Law Judge

ATTEST:

/s/ Judi K. Cooper
Executive Secretary

Dated at Des Moines, Iowa, this 31st day of May, 2002.